

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,284	YERSHOV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist	1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Arlen Soderquist.

 (2) Joan Pennington.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 August 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changes to claim 23 to fix the clarity issues. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes and authorized an examiner's amendment to make the changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)